



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/865,773	05/25/2001	Aruna Rohra Suda	4233-4002	5757
27123	7590	12/11/2006	EXAMINER	
MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P. 3 WORLD FINANCIAL CENTER NEW YORK, NY 10281-2101			CHANNAVAJALA, SRIRAMA T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2166	

DATE MAILED: 12/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/865,773

Applicant(s)

SUDA ET AL.

Examiner

Srirama Channavajjala

Art Unit

2166

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 November 2006 [RCE].
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4, 6-10, 12-15, 18-21, 26-50, 52-57, 59-64, 66-67, 69, 71-73, 75-85, 87, 92-94, 96-97, 99 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) ☒ Claim(s) See Continuation Sheet is/are rejected.

7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/27/06 & 11/2/06.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims rejected are 1-4,6-10,12-15,18-21,26-50,52-57,59-64,66,67,69,71-73,75-85,87,92-94,96,97 and 99.

DETAILED ACTION

Response to RCE

1. Claims 1-4,6-10,12-15,18-21,26-50,52-57,59-64,66-67,69,71-73,75-85,87,92-94,96-97,99 are pending in this application.
2. Claims 1,27-28,30-31,40,44,49,59-60,80,93 have been amended [11/2/2006].
3. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/02/2006 has been entered, and a non-final Office action is as follows
4. Claims 1,12,47-49,59,60,62,69,87,93,94 have been amended [Supplemental amendment filed on 5/11/2006]
5. Claims 5,11,16-17,22-25,51,58,65,68,70,74,86,88-91,95,98 have been cancelled [Supplemental amendment filed on 5/11/2006]
6. Examiner considered applicant's both supplemental amendment and amendment filed on 3/27/2006, 5/11/2006.
7. In view of the appeal Brief filed on 7/7/2005, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED, and non-final action was mailed on 01/18/2006

Art Unit: 2166

8. In view of applicant's response to notification of non-complaint appeal brief filed on 07 July 2005, examiner hereby withdrawn "Final-Office action" mailed on 11/22/2004.
9. Claims 1-15,18-57,59-93 are pending in this application.
10. Claims 16-17,58 are cancelled, see paper filed on 6/15/2004
11. Claims 80-93 have been added, see paper filed on 6/15/2004
12. In view of the applicant proper number form of the claims, the claim objections set forth in the previous office action is hereby withdrawn.

Drawings

13. Examiner acknowledges applicant substituted drawings
fig: 6,10,24,35,31,32,34,35,37-41,85,89 acceptable for examination purpose,
filed on 6/15/2004.

Priority

14. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application Serial No. 2000-197293, 2000-248999, 2000-314601, filed in JAPAN on 5/29/2000, 7/7/2000,10/16/2000 respectively. Examiner acknowledges applicant submitted **certified copy** of the 2000-197293, 2000-248999, 2000-314601 applications as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

Information Disclosure Statement

15. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 11/2/2006 and 7/27/2006 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner, a copy of PTO-1448 is enclosed with this office action.

16. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 03/27/2006 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner, a copy of PTO-1448 was enclosed with previous office action.

17. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 03/08/2005 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner, a copy of PTO-1448 was enclosed with previous office action.

18. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 9/25/2001, paper no. # 3; 4/11/2002, paper no. # 4; 12/8/2003, paper no. # 5; 8/28/2003, paper no. # 6 respectively acknowledged. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner, a copy of PTO-1448 is enclosed with previous office action, paper no. # 7.

Art Unit: 2166

Claim Objections

19. Claim 26 is objected to because of the following informalities: At page 6, claim 26 he system according to...should be The system according to.....

Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

20. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Art Unit: 2166

21. Claims 1-4,6-10,12-15,18-21,26-50,52-57,59-64,66-67,69,71-73,75-85,87,92-94,96-97,99 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-58 of co pending Application No. 09/938,866, filed on 8/24/2001, claims 1-42 of application 10/116,932 filed on 4/5/2002, claims 1-37 of application 10/117,514 filed on 4/5/2002, 1-51 of application 10/387,002 filed on 3/12/2003, 1-42 of application 10/387,005 filed on 3/12/2003 .

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in The referenced copending applications and would be covered by any patent granted on that copending applications since the referenced copending applications and the instant applications are claiming similar, common subject matter. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because in the present application Independent Claims 1,59-60,80,93 are directed to data processing system setting means for setting a condition for web page...data acquisition means for acquiring web page data; determination means for determining whether the acquired web page data....indexing means for assigning a predetermined index to web page data....saving means for saving the web page data....[claim 1,59-60,93], extracting data within a predetermined meta tag ...displaying, when the retrieved web page.... [claim 80] ; while co-pending Application No. **09/938,866**, independent Claims 1,24 are directed to a data processing apparatus comprising means for initiating saving....saving one of either an internet page content displayedURL of the page; means for acquiring....initiating saving;, means for

Art Unit: 2166

indexing.....predetermined index to data....means for data saving acquired data....predetermined storage unit.

Co-pending application no. **10/116,932** independent claims 1,34-42 directed to information processing systemreceiving means for receiving information....internet; transmission means....client terminals, ...server information.....display means for displaying.....

Co-pending application no. **10/117,514** independent claims 1,19,37 directed to information processing....information obtaining means for obtaining information; template selection means.....extraction means for extracting data....saving means for saving data.....

Co-pending application no. **10/387,002** independent claims 1,36,43,46-47,50-51 directed to information processing methodobtaining a processing instruction from a displayed web page, transferring theprocessing instruction.

Co-pending application no. **10/387,005** independent claims 1,27,38-42 directed to a data processing method comprising...obtaining data displayed by a browser, importing data without displaying the data, storing and managing the obtained data.....

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of data processing at the time the invention was made to modify the cited steps as indicated copending **09/938,866**, independent Claims 1,24 , application **10/116,932** independent claims 1,34-42, **10/117,514** independent claims 1,19,37, **10/387,002** independent claims 1,36,43,46-47,50-51, **10/387,005** independent claims 1,27,38-42, since the omission and addition of the cited

Art Unit: 2166

limitations would have not changed the process according to which the data processing web page related data, particularly would perform the same function of managing web page related data . In re Karlson, 136 USPQ 184 (CCPA 1963). Accordingly, the instant Claims are broad and within the scope of the Claims of copending applications.

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

22. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this

Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Art Unit: 2166

23. Claims 1-4,6-10,12-15,18-21,26-39,59-64,66-67,69,71-73,78-79, 93-94,96-97,99 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Barrera et al. [hereafter Barrera], US Patent No. 6567800 filed on Oct 1, 1998 and published on May 20,2003.

24. As to Claims 1,59-60,93, Barrera teaches a system which including 'saving web page data to facilitate searching, access or processing' [col 4, line 56-57];

'setting means for setting a condition for web page data to be saved, in advance of acquiring the web page data' [col 4, line 4-12], Barrera specifically teaches Vspider automatically gets the required information for example website or web page or web data and sends to predetermined location as detailed in fig 5 that corresponds to setting a condition for web site in advance to get web data;

'data acquisition means for acquiring web page data of an existing web page fetched from an original source' [col 4, line 26-30], Barrera specifically teaches Vspider return information related to web page particularly textual content, page size, data and other related web page information that corresponds to acquiring web page data'

'keyword extraction means for extracting a keyword from a content of the acquired web page data' [col 4, line 26-30, line 56-62], Barrera specifically teaches extracting textual content, from the web page or website particularly content is correlated with a category i.e., keywords as detailed in col 4, line 56-62];

Art Unit: 2166

'indexing means for assigning an index that includes the extracted keyword to the acquired web page data' [col 5, line 1-4, line 17-19], Barrera specifically suggests categories are listed for example search by subject corresponds to indexing information related to keywords from the web page , further Barrera also suggests dynamic index is stored that including list of web pages or URLs as detailed in col 5, line 17-19;

determination means for determining whether the condition is satisfied for saving the acquired web page data' [col 5, line 17-19], Barrera specifically suggests "dynamic index is stored that including list of identifiers or URLs as detailed in col 5, line 17-19;

'saving means for saving the web page data in correspondence with the assigned index in a storage unit [[fig 5] if the condition is determined to be satisfied, the saved web page data being sufficient to regenerate at least a portion o the web page without accessing to the original source' [col 5, line 25-26, one 38-42], Barrera specifically suggests metatags in the web pages provide specific category or categories related to website identifiers sufficient to display content from the file or site .

25. As to claim 2, Barrera disclosed 'acquisition means acquires data from a browser client, said browser client allowing browsing of data in an Internet' [fig 2, fig 5], Barrera specifically teaches multiple sites are connected through "internet" and website content is retrieved through the network as detailed in fig 2, fig 5.

Art Unit: 2166

26. As to claim 3, Barrera disclosed 'storage unit is a database' [col 4, line 16-19, col 8, line 16-17].

27. As to claim 4, 64, Barrera disclosed sorting means for sorting indices of the data in the storage unit' [col 2, line 66-67, col 3, line 1], categorizing most relevant information as detailed in col 3, line 1;;

'display means for displaying a result of the sorting by said sorting means' [fig 8, col 5, line 4-5].

28. As to claim 6,66, Barrera disclosed 'selecting means for selecting an index from the indices displayed on said display means' [fig 8-9], Barrera specifically teaches displaying various categories for selection as detailed in fig 8;

'retrieval means for retrieving data corresponding to the index selected by said selecting means from the database' [col 5, line 5-8], Barrera specifically teaches retrieving content or data within the specific category.

29. As to claims 7,67, Barrera disclosed 'deleting means for deleting at least one index from the indices displayed on said display means' [col 5, line 25-26

'removal means for removing data corresponding to the index deleted by said deleting means from the database' [col 5, line 25-26]., Barrera specifically suggested "dynamic index" which is a real-time indexing that including of websites in the category and subcategories.

Art Unit: 2166

30. As to claim 8, Barrera disclosed 'wherein at least one of the data has a plurality of values for an index, and said sorting means places the plurality of values at positions corresponding to respective values' [col 2, line 27-30, fig 4].

31. As to claim 9-10,12, 72-73, Barrera disclosed 'folder creation means for creating a new folder for newly browsed data' [col 2, line 13-15];

'file name assigning means for assigning a predetermined name to the newly browsed data without intervention by a user' [col 4, line 21-26]

'file saving means for saving the newly browsed data in the new folder with the assigned file name' [col 4, line 56-57].

32. As to claim 13, Barrera disclosed 'indexing means acquires a URL of the data from the browser as the index' [col 5, line 17-19].

33. As to claim 14, Barrera disclosed 'indexing means acquires a title embedded in the data from the browser as the index' [col 5, line 19-25].

34. As to claim 15, Barrera disclosed 'each group corresponds to a network session' [col 1, line 10-14].

35. As to claim 18, Barrera disclosed 'word assigning means for assigning a word specified by a user as a further index to the data to be saved by said saving means' [col 2, line 26-29].

36. As to claim 19-20, Barrera disclosed 'wherein if an index assigned to the data to be saved has been assigned to other data, said saving means saves the data as a new data or updates the other data according to a setting by the user' [col 5, line 17-19].

37. As to claim 21, Barrera disclosed 'comparing means for comparing the effective period with a current time at a predetermined timing' [col 4, line 25-28]
'removal means for removing data in correspondence with the effective period before the current time based upon the result of a comparison by said comparing means' [col 5, line 11-16].

38. As to claim 26, Barrera disclosed 'saving means saves the browsed data in a first save mode and saves a URL for the browsed data in place of the browsed data in a second save mode' [col 3, line 58-62].

39. As to claim 27, Barrera disclosed 'wherein said setting means sets whether or not data linked to the browsed data is to be saved with the browsed data' [col 4, line 13-15].

40. As to claim 28, Barrera disclosed 'wherein said setting means can set to save all the browsed data without any instruction for each of the browsed data by the user' [col 4, line 4-6].

Art Unit: 2166

41. As to claim 29, Barrera disclosed 'wherein said saving means saves the browsed data when the browsing is operated to move to another URL'

[col 4, line 21-22].

42. As to claim 30, Barrera disclosed 'setting means can set not to save the browsed data in a URL specified by the user' [col 4, line 17-20].

43. As to claim 31, Barrera disclosed 'index extracting means for extracting as an index a specific data from a data train constituting address of the browsed data in the network on the basis of a predetermined rule' [col 4, line 59-62], specific data corresponds to selected category.

44. As to claim 32, Barrera disclosed 'specific data is a domain name' [col 1, line 39-40, col 2, line 25-26, col 4, line 21-22], domain names are used in URLs to identify particular Web pages, for example, in the URL<http://www.yahoo.com> as detailed in col 1, line 39-40.

45. As to claim 33,35, Barrera disclosed 'wherein the predetermined rule is a rule for eliminating a parameter, a protocol, an obvious address, and page data from the data train, and extracting a domain name from the reset of the data with referring to a knowledge base of domain names' [col 1, line 39-48].

Art Unit: 2166

46. As to claim 34, Barrera disclosed 'wherein the specific data is a name of organization' [col 2, line 25-26].

47. As to claim 36, Barrera disclosed 'predetermined rule includes a rule for dividing the rest of the data into partial data with a predetermined symbol and determining each of the partial data as an organization name' [col 2, line 25-32].

48. As to claim 37, Barrera disclosed 'sending the acquired web page data or a specific part thereof to a destination' [fig 5, col 3, line 52-54].

49. As to claim 38, Barrera disclosed 'specific part is a URL of the saved data' [col 4, line 22-23].

50. As to claim 39, Barrera disclosed 'specific part is the saved data except for an embedded image' [col 1, line 16-17].

51. As to claim 61, Barrera disclosed 'index is dynamically generated' [col 5, line 17-19].

52. As to claim 62, Barrera disclosed 'storage unit is a database' [col 4, line 19-20, line 56-57].

Art Unit: 2166

53. As to claim 63, Barrera disclosed 'retrieving data from said database based on a user-supplied index' [col 4, line 59-62,col 5, line 25-29].

54. As to claim 69, Barrera disclosed 'sending the acquired data to a predetermined destination' [col 4, line 10-12, line 62-65].

55. As to claim 71, Barrera disclosed 'data is acquired from a browser client, said browser client allowing browsing of data in an internet' [col 4, line 7-12].

56. As to claim 78, Barrera disclosed 'indexing means displays the extracted keyword or the title acquired from the browser' [fig 9, col 5, line 6-11].

57. As to claim 79, Barrera disclosed 'index includes a time when the data is saved, said system further comprising: node creation means for creating nodes corresponding to groups classified on the basis of the timing of saving, said node creation means crease a hierarchy of nodes col 4, line 56-59] by dividing a group corresponding to a period into a plurality of sub group each corresponding to a shorter period and creating a node corresponding to each of sub group' [col 5, line 1-4]]; 'node displaying means for displaying a plurality of nodes created by said node creation means in an order of saving' [col 5, line 4-6].

58. As to claims 94,97, Barrera disclosed 'receiving means for receiving an index' [col 4, line 58-59]; 'search means for searching the storage unit for web

Art Unit: 2166

page stored in correspondence with the same index as the received index' [col 4, line 62-65].

59. As to claim 96,99, Barrera disclosed 'save instruction receiving means for receiving save instruction from a user, wherein said indexing means assigns the index to the web page data and said saving means saves the web page data if the save instruction is received' [col 5, line 17-19].

60. Claims 80-85,87,92 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Himmelstein et al. [hereafter Himmelstein], US Pub. No. 2001/0011270 filed on Oct 28, 1998 and published on Aug 2,2001.

61. As to claim 80, Himmelstein disclosed 'extracting data within a predetermined meta tag from a web page retrieved by a browser' [page 2, col 2, 0026, page 4, col 2, 0056, fig 1], Himmelstein specifically teaches information extraction from a document for example "geotags" are metadata used in web pages as detailed in page 4, col 2, 0056;

'displaying, when the retrieved web page is displayed in a web page display area, the extracted data in a predetermined field outside of the web page display area' [page 2, col 1, 0019, page 2, col 1, 0015, line 1-11, col 2, 0022], Himmelstein specifically teaches retrieving of documents from the web, the extracted metadata datum intersect a predetermined region as detailed in page 2, col 2, 0022 .

Art Unit: 2166

62. As to claim 81, Himmelstein disclosed 'saving the displayed web page in a storage unit in correspondence with data displayed in the predetermined field as an index' [page 7, col 1, 0082]

63. As to claim 82, Himmelstein disclosed 'predetermined meta tag is that of a keyword for the web page' [page 8, col 2, claim 45]

64. As to claim 83, Himmelstein disclosed 'predetermined meta tag is that of a title for the web page' [page 6, col 2, 0080, page 5, col 2, 0065].

65. As to claim 84-85, Himmelstein disclosed displaying a list of indices for web pages saved in the storage unit, receiving from a user a designation of an index in the displayed list of indices' [page 6, col 1, 0074, col 2, 0080, fig 1]; 'displaying the web page corresponding to the designated index' [page 7, col 2, 0100].

66. As to claim 87, Himmelstein disclosed 'editing the displayed tag data' [page 5, col 2, 0064].

67. As to claim 92, Himmelstein disclosed 'wherein a URL of the displayed web page is saved instead of the web page is said saving step' [page 7, col 2, 0100].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

68. ***Claims 40-50,52-57,75-77 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barrera et al. [hereafter Barrera], US Patent No. 6567800 filed on Oct 1, 1998 and published on May 20,2003 as applied to claims 1,59,60,93 above, further in view of Sidana, US Patent No. 6081829 filed on Jan 31, 1996, and published on Jun 27,2000.***

Art Unit: 2166

69. As to claim 40,75, Barrera teaches website content is retrieved through a network for example as detailed in fig 1-3. It is however, noted that Barrera does not specifically teach 'editing the browsed data'. On the other hand, Sidana disclosed 'editing the browsed data' [col 6, line 36-44, fig 5].

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to incorporate the teachings of Sidana into searching information stored on a network, particularly searching websites that use category information of Barrera et al. because both Barrera, Sidana are directed to searching internet, more specifically browsing web documents [see Barrera: col 4, line 56-62; Sidana: col 1, 58-62], both Barrera, Sidana specifically teach displaying web page [Barrera: fig 7; Sidana: fig 7] and both are directed to internet searching.

One of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to incorporate the teachings of Sidana into searching information stored on a network, particularly searching websites that use category information of Barrera et al. because that would have allowed users of Barrera to modify the document and return the modified document for viewing by the user, more specifically, user can edit/modify the web document to write comments or annotations to the original we-viewable document [see Abstract, fig 7, col 2, line 22-33], further allows users of Barrera to store redirected web document information because redirects allowing the user to view both his own annotations and departmental annotations from the original web document [see Sadana:

Art Unit: 2166

col 3, line 10-18], thus bringing the advantages of enable a user browsing the web to store information associated with a web document, without the necessity of modifying HTTP protocols, the browser software and/or the server software

70. As to claim 41, 76, Sadana disclosed 'editing means includes annotation means for adding an annotation to the browsed data' [col 2, line 16-21, fig 7].

71. As to claim 42, Sadana disclosed 'wherein said annotation means adds an annotation in such a manner that the annotation is distinguishable from the browsed data' [col 4, line 57-67, fig 7-8].

72. As to claim 43, Sadana disclosed 'wherein said editing means includes changing means for changing a display form of a designated portion in the browsed data' [col 5, line 58-67, fig 4].

73. As to claim 44, 77, Sadana disclosed 'extraction means for extracting a predetermined type of data from the browsed data' [col 5, line 40-45];
'extracted data saving means for saving the extracted data in the database' [col 5, line 32-35].

74. As to claim 45, Sadana disclosed 'extraction means extracts data in a predetermined column in response to a copying operation of data from a specified portion of the browsed data to the predetermined column'

Art Unit: 2166

[col 7, line 1-6, fig 6a], and said extracted data saving means saves the extracted data with an attribute corresponding to the predetermined column'[col 7, line 7-9, fig 6a-b]

75. As to claim 46, Sadana disclosed 'predetermined type of data includes at least one of an organization name, a person name, an E-mail address, a telephone number, a Fax number and a keyword appended to the data' [col 9, line 37-41, line 41-45, col 10, line 39-40].

76. As to claim 47, Sadana disclosed 'wherein if the data requested to be saved includes data from other URL identified in the web page data, said data acquisition means downloads the included data from the other URL' [col 7, line 65-67, col 8, line 1-4].

77. As to claim 48, Sadana disclosed 'wherein if the data from the other URL is already available in the storage unit, said data acquisition means dispenses with the downloading of the data'[col 8, line 5-8].

78. As to claim 49, Sadana disclosed 'mode selection means for selecting an automatic save mode, and in the automatic save mode, said determination means determines the condition to be satisfied to save the browsed data every time a new web page is browsed' [fig 4, col 5, line 58-62].

Art Unit: 2166

79. As to claim 50, Sadana disclosed 'wherein said data acquisition means, said determination means, said indexing means, said saving means, and said database are equipped in a server apparatus,[fig 2, col 5, line 8-15] and said system further comprising at least one client apparatus connected to said server apparatus, each of said client apparatus transmits a user request to said server apparatus and receives a response to the user request from said server apparatus' [col 5, line 15-19, fig 2].

80. As to claim 52-53, Sadana disclosed 'a local database' [col 4, line 20-21], 'a web information storage device for storing web information acquired from an internet' [col 4, line 17-19]; 'administration means for administering data in either of said database, said local database, and said web information storage device' [col 4, line 31-36].

81. As to claim 54-57, Sadana disclosed database is equipped in a server apparatus [see fig 1-2], and said data acquisition means, said determination means, said indexing means, and said saving means are equipped in at least one client apparatus connected to said server apparatus' [col 10, line 4-12].

Response to Arguments

82. Applicant's arguments filed on 11/02/2006 with respect to pending claims 1-4,6-10,12-15,18-21,26-50,52-57,59-64,66-67,69,71-73,75-85,87,92-94,96-97,99 have been fully considered, and Claims 1-4,6-10,12-15,18-21,26-39,59-64,66-67,69,71-73,78-79, 93-94,96-97,99 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Barrera et al. US Patent No. 6567800 ;

Claims 40-50,52-57,75-77 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barrera et al. US Patent No. 6567800 as applied to claims 1,59,60,93 above, further in view of Sidana, US Patent No.

Claims 80-85,87,92 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Himmelstein et al US Pub. No. 2001/0011270.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record

- | | | |
|----|---------------|--------------|
| a. | US Patent No. | 6567800 |
| b. | US Patent No. | 6081829 |
| c | US Pub.No. | 2001/0011270 |

Art Unit: 2166


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Srirama Channavajjala whose telephone number is 571-272-4108. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM Eastern Time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alam, Hosain, T, can be reached on (571) 272-3978. The fax phone numbers for the organization where the application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free)

SC

Patent Examiner.

December 6, 2006.


SRIRAMA CHANNAVAJJALA
PRIMARY EXAMINER